

SFDR entity level disclosure 2022

Statement on principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors

Notes to the reader

About Mercier Van Lanschot

Mercier Van Lanschot Kempen is an independent, specialist wealth manager active in private banking, investment management and investment banking, with the aim of preserving and creating wealth, in a sustainable way, for both its clients and the society of which it is part. Through our long-term focus, we create positive financial and non-financial value. Listed at Euronext Amsterdam, Mercier Van Lanschot is the Netherlands' oldest independent financial services company, with a history dating back to 1737.

We act both as a Financial Market Participant and Financial Adviser as defined in the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR).1 In the present statement we describe the principal adverse impacts of our investment decisions on sustainability factors as prescribed under the SFDR.

SFDR entity level disclosure

The SFDR is a European Union regulation introduced to improve transparency in the market for sustainable investment products by setting entity and product level disclosure requirements on how financial intermediaries integrate sustainability into their investment decisions and advice. This contributes to helping investors make better informed investment decisions, transparency in the market for sustainable investment products, to prevent greenwashing, and to increase transparency around sustainability claims made by financial market participants. Mercier Van Lanschot, acting as a financial market participant and as a financial adviser, and its legal entities are subject to this regulation.

This entity-level disclosure document aims to addresses the following legal requirements following from the articles included in the SFDR (and Delegated Regulation²):

- Article 4 (Statement by financial market participants that they do consider principal adverse impacts of their investment decisions on sustainability factors);
- Article 5 (Summary section):
- Article 6 (Description of the principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors);
- Article 7 (Description of policies to identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors);
- Article 8 (Engagement policies);
- Article 9 (References to international standards).

- Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector.
- Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 of 6 April 2022 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying the details of the content and presentation of the information in relation to the principle of 'do no significant harm', specifying the content, methodologies and presentation of information in relation to sustainability indicators and adverse sustainability impacts, and the content and presentation of the information in relation to the promotion of environmental or social characteristics and sustainable investment objectives in pre-contractual documents, on websites and in periodic reports.

Contents

- 4 1. Summary Samenvatting
- 5 2. Description of the Principal Adverse Impacts on Sustainability Factors
- 11 3. Description of policies to identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors Our sustainable investment policy house Sustainability objectives, promoted environmental/social characteristics, considered principal adverse impacts per product
- 15 4. Our engagement policies
- 16 5. Reference to international standards
- 17 6. Remuneration policies in relation to the integration of sustainability risks

1. Summary

Mercier Van Lanschot (LEI: 724500D8WOYCL1BUCB80) considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. The intensity with which such impact are considered may differ per product.

As a wealth manager with a long-term focus, we aim to help our clients to navigate their investments through in our view the fundamental transitions of this decade. By being active stewards on behalf of our clients, we aim to find the opportunities in these transitions and avoid the pitfalls. Our sustainability efforts focus on three themes: (a) climate change and biodiversity, (b) living better for longer, and (c) a smart and circular economy - and the following three corresponding transitions: (a) the energy transition, (b) the food transition, and (c) the materials transition. Until now, we primarily have been focusing our efforts on (a) climate change and the energy transition, (b) biodiversity and the food transition, and (d) living better for longer ('human health & wellbeing'). Over the course of 2023, we intend to make further progress on our role in the materials transition as well. Moreover, at the very basis we require all of our investments to adhere to certain minimum criteria with regards to sustainability following from amongst others the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human rights and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. Both perspectives are reflected in the explanation section for some of the principal adverse impact indicators included in this disclosure.

More information on the legal structure of Mercier Van Lanschotas per year-end 2022 can be found in the 2022 Annual Report. Mercier Van Lanschotis a wealth manager that amongst others acts as a credit institution, financial adviser, and financial markets participant. The scope of this document is limited to how we consider the principal adverse impact indicators for the portfolios managed on a discretionary basis on behalf of Mercier Van Lanschot clients with the credit institution (Mercier Van Lanschot).

This statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors covers the reference period from 1 January to 31 December 2022.

Samenvatting

Mercier Van Lanschot (LEI: 724500D8WOYCL1BUCB80) weegt wezenlijke ongunstige effecten van beleggingsbeslissingen op duurzaamheidsfactoren mee bij het nemen van beleggingsbeslissingen. De mate waarin verschilt mogelijk per product.

Als wealth manager met een focus op de lange-termijn, willen we onze klanten helpen om hen te loodsen door de, naar onze mening, belangrijkste transities van dit decennium. Door op te treden als actieve rentmeesters voor onze klanten, streven we er naar hen te helpen te profiteren van de belangrijkste kansen die deze transities bieden en valkuilen die deze deze transities met zich mee brengen te vermijden. Ons duurzaamheidsbeleid richt zich op de volgende drie thema's: (a) klimaatverandering & biodiversiteit, (b) langer & beter leven, en (c) een slimme en circulaire economie, en de drie corresponderende transities: (a) de energietransitie, (b) de voedseltransitie, en (c) de materialentransitie. Tot op heden, hebben we ons primair gericht op: (a) klimaatverandering & biodiversiteit en (b) langer & beter leven. In 2023, willen we ook verdere vooruitgang boeken ten aanzien van onze rol in de materialen transitie. Daarnaast moeten al onze beleggingen voldoen aan minimale criteria ten aanzien van mensenrechten en fundamentele arbeidsrechten, die onder meer ontleent zijn aan de UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human rights en OESO Richtlijnen voor internationale ondernemingen. Beide perspectieven zijn terug te vinden in de toelichting bij verschillende van de indicatoren over de wezenlijke ongunstige effecten van beleggingsbeslissingen op duurzaamheidsfactoren in dit document.

Meer informatie over de juridische structuur van Mercier Van Lanschot (per jaareinde 2022) kan worden teruggevonden in het Jaarverslag 2022. Mercier Van Lanschot is een 'wealth manager' en acteert onder meer als kredietinstelling, beleggingsadviseur, en financiëlemarktdeelnemer.

De scope van dit document beperkt zich tot hoe wij omgaan met de wezenlijke ongunstige effecten van beleggingsbeslissingen op duurzaamheidsfactoren voor de portefeuilles die op discretionaire basis worden beheerd voor klanten van de kredietinstelling (van 1 januari 2022 tot 31 december 2022).

2. Description of the Principal Adverse Impacts on Sustainability Factors³

Climate and ot	her e	nvironment-related in	dicators						
Adverse sustai	verse sustainability indicator		Metric	Unit	2022	Cover- age ⁴	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Greenhouse gas emissions	1	GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions	tCO ₂ e	514,187	64.9%	As Mercier Van Lanschot we have targets on the alignment of our Mercier Van Lanschot funds and discretionary management solutions in accordance with the EU Paris Aligned- or Climate Transition Benchmark		
			Scope 2 GHG emissions	tCO ₂ e	174,759	64.9%			
			Scope 3 GHG emissions	tCO ₂ e	4,755,690	64.9%	1	verage annual emission intensity reduction pathway).	
			Total GHG emissions	tCO ₂ e	5,249,222	64.9%	As per our 2022 Annual Report the total carbon footprint of our assets		
	2	Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint	tCO ₂ e/m EUR of investments	330	64.9%	under management has decreased by 22% compared to 2021.		
	3	GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies	tCO ₂ e/m EUR of investments	809	50.2%			
	4	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments, %	4.7%	67.8%	67.8% We increasingly face a dilemma: whether to congas companies or to divest. Although alignment important for all companies, we believe the oil aplays an essential role in the energy transition, agas companies to be at its forefront. Over the lagas companies have increased climate change renot yet enough to align with the Paris Agreementhese companies, we aim to convince them to forest	ough alignment with the Paris Agreement is pelieve the oil and gas sector in particular rgy transition, and we therefore urge oil an ont. Over the last two years, many oil and imate change reduction ambitions – though Paris Agreement. Through engaging with	
	5	Share of non- renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources	Weighted average, %	73.8%	58.3%	we (a) maintain an engagement we the carbon footprint of our listed engagements focus on these comemissions, climate risks/opportur ambitious strategies to align their pathway. In addition, (b) for our s	Principal Adverse Impact indicator. Although with the companies representing top 50% of Mercier Van Lanschot funds. These apanies disclosing information on their GHC nities, and implementing effective and reperations with a Paris aligned reduction ustainable and impact investment products ies that are involved in thermal coal and tarue threshold).	

³ The required reporting scope of Mercier Van Lanschot is not complete for the Description of Principal Adverse Impacts on Sustainability Factors. The missing portfolios account for approximately 0.3% of the total portfolios that should have been considered this section. Due to data complications, we were not able to integrate these figures into this disclosure. We do our best to resolve this issue for next reporting year.

⁴ Coverage refers to the weighted average of coverage from the in-scope portfolios.

Climate and other environment-related indicators

Adverse sustai	nabili	ty indicator	Metric	Unit	2022	Cover- age ⁴	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Greenhouse gas emissions	6	Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high	Agriculture, forestry and fishing (GWh/m EUR of revenue)	0.00013	55.9%	of carbon footprint of our Mercier Van Lanschot funds, which are mainly companies in industries marked as 'high impact climate sectors'. In 2022 we engaged with 54 out of these 55 companies, and divested from the		
			impact climate sector	Mining and quarrying (GWh/m EUR of revenue)	0.01539	55.9%			
					gies to align their operations with a Paris				
				Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply (GWh/m EUR of revenue)	0.06707	55.9%			
				Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities (GWh/m EUR of revenue)					
				Construction (GWh/m EUR of revenue)	0.00111	55.9%			
				Wholesale and retail trade, repair of auto- mobiles and motor- cycles (GWh/m EUR of revenue)	0.03304	55.9%			
				Transport and storage (GWh/m EUR of revenue)	0.01816	55.9%			
				Real estate activities (GWh/m EUR of revenue)	0.01599	55.9%			

Climate and other environment-related indicators

Adverse sustainability indicator		ty indicator	Metric	Unit	2022	Cover- age ⁴	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Biodiversity	7	Activities negatively affecting biodiversity- sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas	Share of investments, %	0.0%	67.8%	For our financial products that aim to promote environmental characteristics related to biodiversity and ecosystems or those that are invested in sustainable investments with an environmental objective related to biodiversity and ecosystems, this principal adverse impact indicator is a key metric to determine whether issuers are adequately addressing biodiversity risk. We recognise that in general a limited number of issuers are adequately reporting on whether they have sites/operations in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas. We encourage our investee companies to provide transparency around this.	
Water	8	Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	Weighted average in tonnes per million EUR of revenue	25	6.0%	Emissions to water is a datapoint that has limited coverage through our ESG data provider. We encourage investee companies and external managers to provide more transparency around this, particularly for the sectors materials, consumer staples and utilities.	
Waste	9	Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	Weighted average in tonnes per million EUR of revenue	1	22.8%	A limited number of companies discloses information on hazardous waste and radioactive waste. Thereby this indicator has limited coverage through our ESG data provider. As (inadequate management of) these waste streams may have a significant impact on the environment, we encourage investee companies and external managers to provide more transparency around this. To be able to better take this element into account.	

Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Adverse susta	ainabili	ty indicator	Metric	Unit	2022	Cover- age	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Social and employee matters	10	Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments, %	0.1%	67.8%	Companies being involved in violations of the UNGC principles or Of Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises are generally excluded (see: better for longer and Our minimum criteria).	
	11	Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compli- ance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments, %	31.7%	67.4%	self identify (potential) brea	e a pre-requisite for companies to be able to iches with the UNGC principles or OECD I Enterprises, we therefore generally require
	12	Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	Average % of gender pay gap	13.8%	16.0%	gender pay gap for the hold as this is what we have mo	al data providers we assess the average % of lings of our liquid Mercier Van Lanschot funds, re complete data on. We support shareholder pay or gender pay gap disclosure in our listed
	13	Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	Average % of female board members	33.2%	66.9%	female to male board meml have more complete data o	al data providers we assess the average ratio of pers in our liquid portfolios, as this is what we n. For our own listed equity funds, we vote in ard diversity and against Board Chair in cases board.

Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Adverse sustainability indicator		ty indicator	Metric	Unit	2022	Cover- age	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Social and employee matters	14	Exposure to controversial weapons (anti- personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacturing or selling of controversial weapons	Share of investments, %	0.0%	67.8%	Companies being involved in cor (see: Our minimum criteria).	ntroversial weapons are generally excluded

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals

Adverse sustainability indicator		ty indicator	Metric Unit		2022	Cover- age	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Environ- mental	15	GHG intensity	GHG intensity of investee countries	Weighted average tCO ₂ e million EUR of GDP	320	15.5%	Countries that have not ratified the Paris Climate Agreement are excluded for our most sustainable investment products and services.	
Social	16	Investee countries subject to social violations	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number and relative number divided by all investee countries), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law	Number of countries invested #, (%)	5 (5.7%)	14.7%	Countries being involved in violations of international treaties and conventions are generally excluded (see: Living better for longer and Our minimum criteria).	

Indicators applicable to investments in real estate assets

Adverse sustainability indicator		ty indicator	Metric	Unit	2022	Cover- age	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Fossil fuels	17	Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets	Share of investments in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport or manufacture of fossil fuels	Share of investments, %	Not available	Not available		
Energy efficiency	18	Exposure to energy-inefficient real estate assets	Share of investments in energy- inefficient real estate assets	Share of investments, %	Not available	Not available		

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Climate and other environment-related indicators

Adverse sustainability indicator		ty indicator	Metric	Unit	2022	Cover- age	Actions taken, and actions planned targets set for the next reference	
Emmissions	4	Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initia- tives	Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement	Share of investments, %	25.3%	66.8%	of the carbon footprint of our lis engagements focus on these co GHG emissions, climate risks/op	th the companies representing top 50% ted Mercier Van Lanschot funds. These mpanies disclosing information on their portunities, and implementing effective their operations with a Paris aligned

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Adverse s	Adverse sustainability indicator		y indicator	Metric	Unit	2022	Cover- age	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Human Rights		14	Number of identi- fied cases of severe human rights issues and incidents		Weighted average, #	0.01	67.6%		gations of severe violations of the UNGC or Multinational Enterprises are generally onger and our minimum criteria).

3. Description of policies to identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Within Mercier Van Lanschot we consider Principal Adverse Impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors. To this end, we have detailed screening policies in place and review the impact of investment decisions on sustainability factors, whether material or likely to be material. Sustainability factors "mean environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters"5.

In this section we outline our overall sustainable investment policy house and our sustainability focus areas. The intensity with which we consider such impacts may vary per investment product. For more information, please refer to the SFDR precontractual disclosures for each such product.

Our sustainable investment policy house

Being a wealth manager with a long-term focus means that we proactively strive to prevent negative consequences for all stakeholders - while aiming to create positive long-term financial and sustainability value. We believe that we can achieve the most significant social and environmental impact via the investments of our clients. Our ambition is therefore to move towards more sustainability investing together with our clients. We are helping them to navigate their investments through - in our view - the fundamental transitions of this decade, i.e. energy, food and materials transitions. By being active stewards on behalf of our clients, we aim to find opportunities in these transitions and avoid the pitfalls. This central ambition forms the basis of our policy house and follows from our Corporate Purpose. Our vision on helping our clients navigating the transitions is outlined in our white paper Navigating through transitions.

Our three focus themes and the three transitions can be considered as the floors of our policy house. We have selected these themes/transitions based on an assessment of which are the most pressing sustainability issues (taking into account the probability of occurrence, severity of the impact, and irremediable character) and where we as Mercier Van Lanschot can have the most meaningful contribution. This has mainly been an qualitative

assessment, leaving somewhat of a margin of error in the sense that unsustainable development may also give rise to other (more) severe and irremediable impacts.

Our approach for achieving sustainability goals related to these focus areas and other topics, is organised along four pillars: (a) exclusion & avoidance, (b) ESG integration, (c) engagement, and (d) positive impact. The various pillars of our policy house are summarised in our ESG Policy & ambitions document.

At the very basis we require all of our investments to adhere to certain minimum criteria with regards to sustainability (e.g., adhering to the UN Global Compact). For more details, see the section: Our minimum criteria. These criteria are listed in our Convention library and result in exclusions.

To monitor the impact that can be associated with investment portfolios in particular in terms of the principal adverse impacts we primarily make use of data provided by MSCI ESG.

In general, our sustainable investment policies are reviewed and evaluated every year. These reviews are typically initiated by the leads for these policies within the Sustainability Centre and discussed in the Sustainability Investment Council. The last review of the Climate Change policy took place in December 2022, whereas our Biodiversity policy and Living better for longer policy have been reviewed in respectively April and June 2023.

Within Mercier Van Lanschot, the accountability for developing, implementing and embedding sustainability goals has been delegated from the Management Board to the Sustainability Board. The Sustainability Board representatives are appointed by the Management Board. The Sustainability Board has three sub-committees, including the Sustainability Investment Council. This council has ultimate responsibility for drafting and implementing the sustainable investment policies. The members of this council are appointed by the Sustainability Board and consist of senior representatives of all relevant business units. The Sustainability Centre fulfils a group-wide role with regards to sustainability. The head of the Sustainability Centre reports directly to the Chair of the Management Board. The department maintains contact with diverse stakeholder groups, both inside and outside the organisation. This department is amongst others responsible for formulating and implementing the responsible investment policies, transparent reporting on the sustainability practices and preparing the exclusion list. More information on how we have organised sustainability within Mercier Van Lanschot can be found on our website.

Climate change and the Energy transition

As a long-term investor, we believe climate change represents a systemic risk facing the economy, our society and environment, and we want to explicitly consider the risks and opportunities this presents to our investments. in the coming decades.

Objective for 2025 is to strive to align the listed portfolios of private clients discretionary management with the Paris agreement and Dutch Klimaatakkoord goals. The same applies for the listed funds where we advise clients.

To achieve these commitments and objectives, we use the following pillars.

- **Exclusion and avoidance:** We do not invest in coal companies which obtain a significant part of revenues (>20%) from thermal coal mining, or tar sands (>20%).
- ESG integration: We prefer to invest in companies (via our internal and external fund managers) which integrate their climate risks and opportunities into their organisation, and are able to move towards a low carbon economy.
- Active ownership: We ask internal and external fund managers to engage with relevant investee companies and ask for Science Based Targets or equivalent, as well as scope 3 reporting. For funds that go the extra mile on sustainability, we expect fund managers to engage with their companies on how their activities relate to climate-related EU regulation and the UN Sustainable Development Goals.

For more information, please consult our Climate change policy and/or Climate change strategy & action plan.

Biodiversity and the food transition

Globally, biodiversity is in a rapid decline. Three-quarters of the land-based environment and about 66% of the marine environment have been significantly altered by human actions⁶. The global population of wild species has fallen by 69% over the last 50 years⁷ and more than one million species are currently at risk of extinction. Biodiversity is negatively impacted due to economic activities, but at the same time these activities are dependent on ecosystems. Similarly as for climate change, we therefore perceive biodiversity decline to be a systemic risk facing the economy, our society and the environment. And we are considering the risks and opportunities this presents to our investments in the coming decades.

We are committed to limit climate change and to mitigate and reverse biodiversity loss by contributing to the energy, food and materials transitions. In relation to biodiversity, the food system and subsequent food transformation are especially important as the food production system is the primary human activity responsible for biodiversity loss.⁸

In 2021 Mercier Van Lanschot signed the Finance for Biodiversity Pledge. Via this Pledge we committed ourselves to implement and drive biodiversity-related best practices, varying from collaboration to engagement and setting concrete targets by 2024 (similar as to listed above related to climate change and the energy transition).

We focus on biodiversity within our investment process through four pillars (exclusion and avoidance, ESG integration, active ownership and positive impact). We believe that all pillars instruments are needed to provide a robust framework on the integration of biodiversity in the portfolios.

- UN Sustainable Development Goals (2019), Nature's Dangerous Decline 'Unprecedented'; Species Extinction Rates.
- World Economic Forum (2022), 6 charts that show the state of biodiversity and nature loss and how we can go 'nature positive'.
- IPBES (2019), Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. The report can be found here. BCG (2021), The Biodiversity Crisis Is a Business Crisis. The report can be found here. MSCI (2022), Biodiversity & Food: The Double Burden.

By means of these four pillars we aim to cease (via exclusion and avoidance and active ownership), prevent or mitigate our adverse biodiversity impact (via ESG integration and active ownership) and contribute to positive solutions (via positive impact).

- Exclusion & avoidance: One of the direct drivers of biodiversity loss is climate change. We have formulated exclusion objectives for coal mining and tar sands for all fund managers where we have influence.9 We have assessed several activities that are detrimental to the transition to a low carbon economy and believe that especially these activities have an adverse impact on climate change. See our Climate change policy for more details. While we do not have specific exclusion criteria for the other four drivers of biodiversity loss, we apply exclusion criteria for companies based on company conduct behaviour. When companies are involved in actual severe adverse biodiversity impacts due to its business conduct, we avoid investing in these companies. Biodiversity indicators are part of these assessments.
- ESG integration: The topic is included in our ESG monitoring as well in our assessments via ESG ratings, controversies and business involvement. We seek to integrate ESG both directly - through our funds' investments - as well as through our external solutions. The screens (including ESG ratings and controversies) are based on international standards and conventions, such as the OECD Guidelines, UN Global Compact and the Convention on Biological Diversity. With the screens, we aim to identify companies with activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas, and risks to water stress and waste. Using data vendors, we measure the impacts and risks related to issues of biodiversity loss, deforestation and land use within the companies' own operations and/ or their supply chains. For non-listed assets, we have minimum certification standards and a no deforestation policy requirement in place for land investments.
- Engagement: We actively engage with companies on biodiversity-related controversies where applicable. Due to our size and resources available, we also aim to engage collectively to increase our leverage. Next to engagements we use our voting rights to express our views on biodiversity matters such as a company's performance regarding topics within this theme.
- By 'influence' we refer to a broader term than active funds, we refer to: (1) we generally have influence where allocation amounts are high (for active and passive fund managers); (2) we have influence for mandates via BestSelect; (3) we have influence where the selected fund is a co-creation between Mercier Van Lanschot and the asset manager in question.

Positive impact: We invest in strategies that support nature and climate next to providing solutions to our clients for different asset classes. We recognise that solutions with a positive impact on biodiversity are also needed.

For more information, please consult our Biodiversity policy.

Living better for longer

As a long-term investor, we believe that risks and challenges that negatively impact human health and well-being pose a systemic risk to society, the economy and the environment and we want to consider these risks. Health and well-being is a sustainability focus area for us. This focus area includes the risks and issues which can pose threats or barriers to health and well-being as well as considering opportunities where we can contribute to progress and development in this field. We seek to act to avoid harm (do no harm), benefit stakeholders (being employees, the value chain, affected communities and end-users or consumers) as well as contribute to solutions.

Our Human Rights and Labour Rights policy forms part of the base of this theme and our approach to long-term value creation. Human rights and labour rights violations can create significant barriers to living better and living longer, therefore these controversies act as a obstructor to this theme. To underline our position on international human rights, we are a signatory to the UN Global Compact (UNGC), a global standard for companies to align their strategies and operations with universal principles on human rights, labour, environment and anti-corruption. We support the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGP), the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (OECD), the Principles for Responsible Investment as well as a range of other Internation Labour Organization and UN Conventions, listed in our Convention library. While many of these principles and conventions primarily target large listed companies, we explicitly apply these to our private markets investments as well. To understand how the OECD Guidelines for Multinational enterprises apply to Mercier Van Lanschot, consult our OECD Guidelines Compliance statement.

Our minimum criteria

Our minimum standard is 'to do no harm'. As part of our sustainability approach, we therefore do not want to invest in companies and countries involved in activities with severe negative impact on people and/or the environment. The activities can be product/ services based (weapons, tobacco, coal mining, tar sands) or related to conduct (government bond exclusions, MSCI red flags).

Weapons

Mercier Van Lanschot will not consider weapon related companies for investment unless:

- 1. these companies comply with the international weapon related conventions/treaties as mentioned in the Convention Library, and;
- 2. these companies operate in compliance with local laws and regulations regarding the production, storage, trading and use of weapons, and;
- 3. the weapons involved are not controversial weapons, and;
- 4. the weapons are not being provided to countries and non-state actors on the EU and/or UN sanction list, -associated with government violence against citizens (in fragile or failing states, countries in civil war), -terrorist organisations, and -(organised) crime organisations.

Tobacco

Mercier Van Lanschot follows a principles-based framework, which is explained in the exclusion policy. Based on that framework, Mercier Van Lanschot is committed to not investing in companies involved in tobacco products. Overall, tobacco has severe negative impacts on people (deaths and diseases) which are reflected in the first worldwide (legally binding) health treaty for tobacco use reduction.

Climate related exclusions: coal mining and tar sands

Mercier Van Lanschot has assessed several activities which are likely to be detrimental to the transition towards a low-carbon economy and to meeting the Paris Agreement goals. Within the transition, Mercier Van Lanschot believes that coal mining and tar sands have the most adverse impact on climate change and environment and can be substituted (oil and gas are still needed in the transition, albeit ideally in diminishing quantities). As part of

its climate change policy, Mercier Van Lanschot is therefore committed to not investing in companies with most of their revenues coming from coal mining and/or tar sands. The full climate change policy can be found on Mercier Van Lanschot's website.

Government bond exclusions

As a minimum, Mercier Van Lanschot does not want to provide financial means to governments that, as indicated by sanctions, violate the basic rights of their own inhabitants or those of other countries. Additionally, countries which are involved in severe adverse human rights issues and not captured by sanctions will be excluded. As climate change is one of Mercier Van Lanschot's focus areas, it further only considers government bonds of countries which have ratified the Paris Agreement for investments. For the more sustainable investments, Mercier Van Lanschot only invests in governments bonds of countries which do not have adverse environmental impact (including climate change), do not have adverse human and labour rights issues and do not have weak governance/ institutions.

MSCI Red Flags

There are a number of companies that face very severe controversies based on the way they conduct business and impact labour or human rights or the environment. This risk can be either from a reputational perspective or from an investment perspective. These are companies that structurally violate international conventions and standards and/or have demonstrated no improvement over the past years.

Sustainability objectives, promoted environmental/social characteristics, considered principal adverse impacts per product

More information on the sustainability objectives-, promoted environmental/social characteristics, and/or considered principal adverse impacts of each product/service can be found in the respective SFDR pre-contractual disclosure via either:

Duurzaamheidsinformatie | Mercier Van Lanschot(NL),

Duurzaamheidsinformatie | Mercier Van Lanschot (BE).

Duurzaamheidsinformatie | Evi van Lanschot, or

Vermogensbeheer voor Vennootschappen | Mercier Van Lanschot

4. Our engagement policies

We consider engagement to be an important policy instrument for us in managing principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors in our investment portfolios. If issuers we invest in, do not comply with the international norms and standards we endorse (e.g., UN Global Compact, OECD Guidelines) we may initiate an engagement process. When issuers do not adhere to such norms, based on our engagement policy, we select the most severe cases for "engagement for change". A case becomes more severe if it negatively impacts a larger group of people, if it creates impact that can no longer be remediated and/or if it is the result of structurally weak company policies or systems. When external fund managers invest in such issuers, we may enter into an engagement with these fund managers on their reasons for investing in these. If there is evidence that issuers or external funds are breaching international norms and are not able or willing to address the issue, we will seek to divest.

Engagements with issuers may be effected and conducted on our behalf alone, or (with a view to increasing leverage) in collaboration with other asset managers and/or asset owners. Moreover, we challenge clients, industry peers and rating agencies to pursue responsible investment and other policies. For more information please see our engagement policy.

We focus in particular on engaging with the companies in which we invest via our own investment funds: (a) with companies representing the top 50% of the carbon footprint of the total portfolio (to thereby address the principal adverse impact indicators '1. GHG emissions', '3. GHG intensity of investee companies'), and (b) on social and/or governance issues (to thereby address the principal adverse impact indicators '10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises', '12. Unadjusted gender pay gap' and '13. Board gender diversity'). As demonstrated by the KPIs we have set on this (see our 2022 Annual Report, pp.20-21, KPIs #14c and #19).

We generally review our topical sustainability policies, such as our climate change policy on an annual basis, and then amongst others evaluate whether we need to refocus our engagement efforts and/or increase our engagement targets.

5. Reference to international standards

We align our sustainability investment policies with relevant international standards. Within the quarterly screening on our minimum criteria of our investment portfolios and those of external managers, we examine on an issuer-level whether issuers meet the requirements of the international treaties and conventions as listed in our Convention library (which is aligned with the conventions screened against by our data provider MSCI ESG via their ESG ratings and issuing of 'red flags). In addition, the following policies align with the listed respective international standards:

Climate change policy

- the Paris Agreement goals;
- the Climate Commitment of the financial sector of the Dutch Climate Agreement (Klimaatakkoord):
- the ambition laid out by the European Commission to become a net-zero economy by 2050:
- the IPCC's +1.5°C climate scenarios, and;
- the Net Zero Asset Managers Initiative.

Biodiversity policy

the COP 15 UN Biodiversity Conference

We aim to address climate change by amongst others: (i) aligning our listed portfolios with the EU Climate Transition- or Paris Aligned Benchmark (i.e., tracking the carbon intensity in terms of scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions per € m of revenue - via our data provider ISS ESG), (ii) maintaining an engagement with the top 50% largest contributors to the carbon footprint of our Mercier Van Lanschot listed funds (i.e., in terms of scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions - via our data provider ISS ESG), and (iii) excluding investments in coal companies which obtain a significant part of revenues (>20%) from thermal coal mining, or tar sands (>20%; provided by our data provider ISS ESG).

We do not yet apply forward-looking climate scenarios for all our products and services. Although we acknowledge these can provide valuable insights, we currently find there are limitations in applying these to portfolios that contain investments in asset classes beyond listed-equity in developed markets.

In addition, we want to further address biodiversity loss by amongst others engaging with companies to lower their impact in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas and a selection of other principal adverse impact indicators. However, we currently find that in general a limited number of issuers adequately report on this. We encourage our investee companies to provide transparency around this.

6. Remuneration policies in relation to the integration of sustainability risks

We take sustainability risks into account in our remuneration policy for investment professionals and other senior staff by aligning their financial incentives with the long-term interests of our clients and the long-term success of our own organisation. Therefore, the scorecards we use for the allocation of variable remuneration to employees working for our various client segments therefore include KPIs linked to sustainability aspects. The specific KPIs that are considered depend on the client segment and specific area of expertise of the investment professional/senior staff, an illustrative example is the reduction of the carbon intensity of a portfolio.

Our remuneration policy also promotes a sound and effective risk management culture that protects the value of the investment portfolios. Sustainability risks are defined as environmental, social or governance events or conditions that, if they occur, could cause an actual or a potential material negative impact on the value of the investment. These risks are part of the risk management policy and culture, in the same way as all other forms of risk (i.e. strategic, operational, compliance, interest rate and liquidity risk). To promote the adequate consideration of sustainability risks, since these might materialise in the longterm future, we in our variable remuneration policy have included the possibility for the Risk Management department to make corrections in the determination of variable remuneration (pools) for such risks.

Specifically, the remuneration of the Management Board consists of fixed remuneration only, and includes a relatively large proportion in shares (with a five-year lock-up period). By abolishing variable remuneration and paying a relatively large proportion in shares, we aim to design a balanced and sustainable remuneration structure that is focused on the long term. More information on the remuneration of our Management Board can be found in our Remuneration policy.

Disclaimer

The information contained within this publication is provided for general and reference purposes only. Under no circumstances may the information contained within this publication be construed as an offer nor may any rights be derived therefrom. In preparing this publication, we have exercised the greatest possible care in the selection of external sources. We provide no guarantees whatsoever that the information provided by these sources and contained within this publication is correct, accurate, and complete, nor that it will remain so in the future. We accept no liability whatsoever for any misprints or typesetting errors. Subject to regulatory requirements, we are under no obligation whatsoever to update or modify the information we have included in this publication. All rights with respect to the contents of the publication are reserved, including the right of modification.



Beethovenstraat 300 1077 WZ Amsterdam Postbus 75666 1070 AR Amsterdam

T +31 20 348 80 00 vanlanschotkempen.com